

MULTIPOINT NON-LINEAR METHOD FOR ENHANCED COMPONENT AND SENSOR MALFUNCTION DIAGNOSIS

Ph. Kamboukos Research Associate K. Mathioudakis Professor

Laboratory of Thermal Turbomachines National Technical University of Athens





MULTIPOINT NON-LINEAR METHOD FOR ENHANCED COMPONENT AND SENOSOR MALFUNCTION DIAGNOSIS

- Diagnosis through the use of adaptive modeling with application to multipoint aerothermodynamic data
- •Multipoint method definitions and operating points selection
- Method application to noisy data
- **•**Evolution of the method to deal with sensor faults
- Implementation aspects of multipoint method
- Conclusions



Adaptive Modeling applied to multipoint data





Formulation of the non-linear diagnostic problem Investigation on the ability to derive solutions

The possibility to derive a unique solution for f depends on the relation between (the number of measurements) M and (the number of health parameters) N

•If *N*≤*M* : A Unique solution exists

•If *N*>*M*, An Infinite number of solutions exists.



The multipoint aerothermal diagnostic method

The engine operation can be observed using an adaptive model.

$$\mathbf{Y}_{k} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_{k}, \mathbf{f}_{c})$$

Diagnosis could be performed by forming a cost function of this type if the estimated parameters are less or equal to available measurements.

In case of limited information from an engine the diagnostic procedure can be extended at several different operating points.

$$FC_{k}(\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[\frac{\Delta Y_{ik}^{\text{meas}} - \Delta Y_{ik}(\mathbf{u}_{k}, \mathbf{f})}{\sigma_{\text{Yik}}^{\text{norm}}} \right]^{2}$$

$$FC(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} FC_{k}(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[\frac{\varDelta Y_{ik}^{\text{meas}} - \varDelta Y_{ik}(\mathbf{u}_{k}, \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}})}{\sigma_{\text{Yik}}^{\text{norm}}} \right]^{2}$$



Diagnostic Procedure Flow Chart





Operating points selection for multipoint procedures

The operating points selected among available for the constitution of the method must ensure:

•Robust behaviour of the numerical procedure supporting the diagnosis

• Minimum amplification of measurements noise to estimated health parameters

Operating points for turbofan



Corrrected Flow



Criteria for optimal selection of operating points

The method of condition number is applied to the extended system matrix of the equivalent linear system

Low condition number and low noise amplification is ensured



Increase of operating points taken in to account:

•Results to low condition number

•Reduces the estimation uncertainty



Application Test Case

A large civil turbofan

4 OP definition variables

7 measurements are available to

estimate

11 health parameters





Application of the method to noisy data – Row estimations

The method has been applied to a set of single component faults defined through European project OBIDICOTE.



Fault localization





Application of the method to noisy data Detection of faulty component

Mean Value and Standard Deviation based on the sample are derivedused for Diagnostic Index applicationCorrect detection of faulty component



	Correct detection of faulty component	
Fault	Single Point	Multi point
Α	\checkmark	\checkmark
В	\checkmark	\checkmark
С		\checkmark
D	\checkmark	\checkmark
E	\checkmark	\checkmark
F	\checkmark	\checkmark
G	\checkmark	\checkmark
н	\checkmark	\checkmark
I	\checkmark	\checkmark
J		\checkmark
к	\checkmark	\checkmark
L	\checkmark	\checkmark
М	\checkmark	
N	\checkmark	\checkmark
0	\checkmark	\checkmark



Extension of Multipoint method to deal with sensor faults

Nominal value for a measurement

 $F_{i}(\mathbf{u}_{k},\mathbf{f}_{c}) = Y_{ik}^{exp} = a_{i}^{ref} + \beta_{i}^{ref} \cdot V_{ik}$

Health parameters for measurement sensor

$$f_{y_{i\alpha}} = \frac{a_i}{a_i^{\text{ref}}} \qquad f_{y_{i\beta}} = \frac{\beta_i}{\beta_i^{\text{ref}}}$$

Measurement value provided by a sensor

$$Y_{ik}^{sens} = f_{y_{i\beta}} \cdot F_i(\mathbf{u}_k, \mathbf{f}_c) + a_i^{ref} \cdot \left(f_{y_{i\alpha}} - f_{y_{i\beta}} \right)$$

Component
parametersSensor
parameters f_c f_y

The vector f of estimated health parameters consists on:

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}} \\ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{y}} \end{bmatrix}$$







Application to a turbojet test case

Estimation of compressor and turbine state: SW₂,SE₂, SW₄, SE₄ using P_{s3}, T₃, T₅, W₁.

For each used measurement two health parameters are considered:

 $SP_{s3\alpha}, SP_{s3\beta}, ST_{3\alpha}, ST_{3\beta}, ST_{5\alpha}, ST_{5\beta}, SW_{1\alpha}, SW_{1\beta}.$



The number of unknowns rise to 12 while the number of known is 4. Thus 3 operating points are used. The resulting system exhibit **bad** condition number.



Introduction of a heuristic search rule

A reasonable assumption:

Only 1 sensor among 4 available can present malfunction at the time A criterion for effective diagnosis:

The solution producing the minimum cost function value will considered as accurate



The procedure is repeated 4 times



Sensor fault problem simplification

If the offset α is equal to 0, the sensor diagnosis problem is simplified with the significant reduction of the unknowns. The resulting expression is the mainly used up to date for sensor diagnosis.





Classification of Diagnostic Problems

with respect to the relation between measurements and health parameters

Problems of MxM

Results to a Non-Linear system of equations

Problems of MxN

Results to a Non-Linear function minimization





Reduction of the computational cost

Selection of the appropriate measurements from the available operating points to form a square system of non-linear equations instead of cost function minimization.





Tracking health parameters deviations manifested as function of the operating point

For the cases where health parameters deviations are considered as function of the operating point, the multipoint method can be applied.





Conclusions

The successful application of the non-linear multipoint method to the condition diagnosis of a turbofan engine has been demonstrated.

An extension of the multipoint method in order to be able to accommodate the simultaneous diagnosis of engine components and sensors state has been presented. Successful results from method application to the case of a turbojet engine has been presented.

A way for the significant reduction of the computational cost of multipoint procedure has been presented.

The dependence of health parameters values from the operating point has been considered and the multipoint method has been used for the detection of this variation.